

de Rham cohomology, part 2

The problems this week are harder, so there are fewer of them. Finding Poincaré duals is optional since we didn't cover them in detail.

More de Rham cohomology

1. Let $Y_{g,n}$ be the orientable surface of genus g with n points removed. Compute $H^*(Y_{g,n})$. Can you find submanifolds whose Poincaré duals generate?
2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed set. The goal of this exercise is to relate $H^*(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X)$ and $H^*(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus X)$.

Fix a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f^{-1}(0) = X$. For example $f(y) = \min\{1, d(y, X)\}$ will do. Let

$$U = \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid t < f(y)\}$$

and

$$V = \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid t > -f(y)\}$$

Show that U and V are contractible by finding a deformation retraction to hyperplanes $t = -1$ and $t = 1$ respectively. Also show that $U \cap V$ deformation retracts to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$. From the Mayer-Vietoris sequence deduce that for $p \geq 2$ we have

$$H^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus X) \cong H^{p-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X)$$

The formula holds even when $p = 1$ or 0 , but one must modify the definition of H^0 that effectively removes one dimension (so it is 0 for connected manifolds). That is, one uses *reduced cohomology* \tilde{H}^0 . If you are curious, $\tilde{H}^0(X)$ is the cokernel of the map $H^0(p) \rightarrow H^0(X)$ induced by the constant map $X \rightarrow \{p\}$.

3. Using only the Stokes theorem show that an orientable compact manifold doesn't admit a smooth retraction to its boundary.

Compactly supported de Rham cohomology

4. Let U, V be open subsets of a manifold M with $M = U \cup V$. Show that for any p the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_c^p(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{i} \Omega_c^p(U) \oplus \Omega_c^p(V) \xrightarrow{j} \Omega_c^p(M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where $i(\omega) = (\omega_U, \omega_V)$ and $j(\eta, \theta) = (\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{\theta})$, with ω_U, ω_V denoting the extension of ω to U, V by 0, and $\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\theta}$ are the extensions of η, θ by 0 to M . This implies, by homological algebra, that there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_c^p(U \cap V) \rightarrow H_c^p(U) \oplus H_c^p(V) \rightarrow H_c^p(M) \rightarrow H_c^{p+1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \cdots$$

5. Show that $H_c^p(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) = \mathbb{R}$ for $p = 1, 2$ and 0 otherwise. Can you find submanifolds whose Poincaré duals generate? Hint: Mayer-Vietoris. There are several ways of doing it, one possibility is to cover $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ with two open sets as in Problem 2.. The other is to take $U, V \subset S^2$ to be the complements of the north and south poles respectively and then $U \cap V$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.
6. Compute $H_c^p(X_n)$ where X_n is \mathbb{R}^2 with n points removed. Can you find submanifolds whose Poincaré duals generate compactly supported cohomology? The same question for the regular cohomology.
7. Show that $H_c^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) = \mathbb{R}$ for $p = 1, n$ and 0 otherwise. Can you find submanifolds whose Poincaré duals generate?