

6.5 (cont)

Ex 1 Population of U.S. was 3.9 million in 1790 + 178 million in 1960. If the rate of growth is assumed proportional to the population, what estimate would you give for the population in 2000? (compare your answer w/ actual population of 275 million.)

let $t_0 = 0$ in 1790 then in 1960 $t_1 = 170$

Population is dependent on time $\Rightarrow P = P(t)$.

Basically, we have 2 pts on our exponential curve goes thru. $(0, 3.9)$ and $(170, 178)$ where P is in millions

Fit this with $P = P_0 e^{rt}$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad 3.9 = P_0 e^0 \Rightarrow P_0 = 3.9$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad 178 = 3.9 e^{r(170)} \Rightarrow \frac{178}{3.9} = e^{170r}$$

$$\Rightarrow r = \frac{1}{170} \ln\left(\frac{178}{3.9}\right) \approx 0.0224753$$

$\Rightarrow P = 3.9 e^{0.0224753t}$ is function for population

in year 2000, $t = 2000 - 1790 = 210$

$$P(210) = 3.9 e^{0.0224753(210)} \approx 437.378$$

\Rightarrow about 437.378 million

6.5 (cont)

Ex 2 If a radio-active substance loses 15% of its radioactivity in 2 days, what is its half-life?
half-life means time it takes for half the substance to decay.

We have $y = y_0 e^{kt}$

+ we know when $t = 2$ days

(i.e. if we lost 15% of y_0 , we still have 85% of y_0 left)

$$\Rightarrow 0.85 y_0 = y_0 e^{2k}$$

$$k = \frac{\ln 0.85}{2} \approx -0.0812595$$

$$\Rightarrow y = y_0 e^{-0.0812595 t}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} y_0 = y_0 e^{-0.0812595 t}$$

$$\frac{\ln(\frac{1}{2})}{-0.0812595} = t \Rightarrow t \approx 8.53$$

half life: $(t, \frac{1}{2} y_0)$

so about 8.5 days

Compound Interest

Put \$100 in a bank w/ 8% interest compounded quarterly.

$$\left(\frac{0.08}{4} = 0.02 \text{ quarterly interest} \right)$$

after qtr | value

0 | 100

1 | $100(1+0.02)$

2 | $[100(1+0.02)](1+0.02) = 100(1+0.02)^2$

3 | $[100(1+0.02)(1+0.02)](1+0.02) = 100(1+0.02)^3$

⋮

n | ?

Math 1220
(21)

6.5 (cont)

Let's go back to ★ question. (continuous compounding)

$$A(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_0 \left(1 + \frac{r}{n}\right)^{nt}$$

$$= A_0 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{r}{n}\right)^{nt} = A_0 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{r}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{r}}\right]^{rt}$$

$$= A_0 \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{r}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{r}} \right]^{rt}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{r}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{let } h = \frac{r}{n}$$

$$= A_0 \left[\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1+h)^{\frac{1}{h}} \right]^{rt}$$

$$\boxed{A(t) = A_0 e^{rt}}$$

continuous compounding

EX 3 If Methuselah's parents had put \$100 in the bank for him at birth and he left it there, what would Methuselah have had at his death (969 years later) if interest was 4% compounded annually?

$$A = A_0 \left(1 + \frac{r}{n}\right)^{nt}$$

$$A_0 = \$100$$

$$r = 0.04$$

$$n = 1$$

$$t = 969$$

$$A = 100 (1 + 0.04)^{969} \approx \$3,201,481,990,000,000,000$$

Math 1220