WORKSHEET # 2

MATH 538 FALL 2011

In this worksheet, we'll explore normalization of an integral domain.

Definition 0.1. Suppose R is an integral domain with field of fractions K(R). We define the normalization of R to be the integral closure of R inside K(R). We will denote this by R^N . We say that R is normal if $R = R^N$.

1. Show that $K(R) = K(R^{N})$. *Hint:* This should be essentially trivial.

Solution: Indeed, certainly $R^{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq K(R)$. Thus we have the containments

$$K(R) = \operatorname{Frac}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{Frac}(R^{\mathbb{N}}) \subseteq K(R)$$

proving the result

2. Suppose that R is a UFD, prove that R is normal. Thus $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ and $k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ are normal. Hint: Suppose $f/g \in K(R)$ is integral over R where f and g have no common factor.

Solution: Suppose that $f/g \in K(R)$ is integral and f and g have no common factor. So there exist $a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_0 \in R$ such that

$$(f/g)^n + a_{n-1}(f/g)^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0.$$

Multiplying through by g^n gives us

$$f^{n} + a_{n-1}f^{n-1}g^{1} + \dots + a_{0}g^{n} = 0.$$

Thus $f^n \in \langle g \rangle$. Since f and g have no common factors and R is a UFD, the only way this can happen is if $\langle g \rangle = R$ and thus g is a unit in R. Thus $f/g \in K(R)$ and the problem is completed.

3. Suppose that R is a 1-dimensional local domain with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \langle r \rangle$. Prove that R is a UFD and thus normal.

Hint: Show all non-zero elements of R are of the form ur^n for some unit u.

Solution: Suppose that $x \in R$ and x is not of the form ur^n for some unit u. If x is a unit, then $x = ur^0$ and we have a contradiction. So $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Write $x = x_1r$, note x_1 can't be a unit, so $x = x_2r^2$. Continuing in this way we see that $x \in \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \langle r^n \rangle =: J$. Now, consider $rJ = \mathfrak{m}J \subseteq J$. Take $y \in J$, so for each n > 0, $y = y_nr^n$ for some $y_n \in R$. Consider $z = y/r = y_nr^{n-1}$. This also has the prescribed form so $z \in J$ as well thus $y \in rJ$. Therefore rJ = J and Nakayama's Lemma then implies that J = 0. This implies that all non-zero elements of R are of the form ur^n

But this clearly implies that R is a UFD (since R is a domain).

We also have the following converse to 3. which you may assume without proof (as needed).

Theorem 0.2. If R is a 1-dimensional normal Noetherian ring, then $\mathfrak{m} = \langle r \rangle$.

4. Suppose that R is an integral domain. Show that R is normal if each $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is normal for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$. Hint: You may use the fact that $R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q}} R_{\mathfrak{q}}$

Solution: Indeed, suppose that $x \in K(R)$ is integral over R. Since each $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is normal and contains R, x satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ as well. Thus $x \in R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for all \mathfrak{q} . We are now done by the hint.

5. Prove the converse to **4.** In other words, suppose that R is an integral domain and R is normal. Prove that $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is also normal for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$.

Solution: Suppose that z is in the normalization of $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Thus $z^n + (a_{n-1}/g_{n-1})z^{n-1} + \cdots + (a_1/g_1)z^1 + (a_0/g_0) = 0$ for some $a_i \in R$ and $g_i \in R \setminus \mathfrak{q} := W$. Multiplying through by $w^n = \prod_i g_i^n$, we obtain

$$(zw)^{n} + (a_{n-1}w/g_{n-1})(zw)^{n-1} + \dots + (a_{1}w^{n-1}/g_{n-1})(zw)^{1} + (a_{0}w^{n}/g_{0}) = 0$$

It is clear that each of the $a_{n-i}w^i/g_{n-i}\in R$ and so zw is integral over R and thus contained in R. But then $z=zw/w\in R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ as desired.

Theorem 0.3. Suppose that S is either $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ or $k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ and set $R=S/\langle f \rangle$ for some $f \in S$. In this case, R is normal if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is normal for every $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ such that $\dim R_{\mathfrak{q}} = 1$ (in other words, for every height-1 prime ideal)

We will use this to construct an example of a normal ring which is not a UFD.

6. Assuming the theorem above (without proof), conclude that $R = k[x, y, z]/\langle xy - z^2 \rangle$ is a normal (it's not difficult to see that this is not a UFD since $xy = z^2$).

Hint: Consider the map $R \to k[s,t]$ which sends $x \mapsto s$, $y \mapsto st^2$ and $z \mapsto st$. Show that after inverting x and s, one gets an isomorphism. What height one primes are left?

Solution: So this is a little trickier, made harder still since I gave the wrong map in the hint. Set S = k[s,t] with the given $\varphi: R \to S$. Note that $R[x^{-1}] = k[x,x^{-1},y,z]/\langle y-z^2/x\rangle$ which clearly maps bijectively onto $k[s,s^{-1},t]$. Of course, the height one primes of $R[x^{-1}]$ coincide with the height one primes of R that do not contain x. Since S is normal, so is $S[s^{-1}]$ and thus $S[s^{-1}]_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is normal for any height one prime. It then follows that $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is normal for any height one prime not containing x.

Likewise we can consider the map $\psi: R \to S$ defined by the rule $x \mapsto (st)^2$, $y \mapsto s$, $z \mapsto st$. By symmetry, it follows that $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is normal for any height one prime not containing y.

Finally, we notice that there are no height-one primes containing both x and y since $\sqrt{\langle x,y\rangle}=\langle x,y,z\rangle$ is certainly height at least 2 (note $\langle 0\rangle\subseteq\langle x,z\rangle\subseteq\langle x,y,z\rangle$.) It then follows that $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is normal for every height one prime and so by the theorem we have completed the proof.